Notice of Abandonment	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/606,993	ROSENTHAL, BRUCE N.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nicholas D. Rosen	3625	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ldress
This application is abandoned in view of:			
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Moreous period for reply (including a total extension of time of) 	failing or Transmission dated		expiration of the
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does			-
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C	Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); of		
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitutional rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See		mpt at a proper rep	ly, to the non-
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.			
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8	5).	-	
 (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was			
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance			
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ 1	Γhe publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$	·
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.		
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 			
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.			
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.			
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the assi	ignee of the entire i	nterest, or all of
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	entative capacity u	nder 37 CFR
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair		e the period for see	eking court review
7. 🔀 The reason(s) below:			
Applicant responded to the Final Rejection of Octob Request for Extension of Time on August 18, 2008,			
	/Nicholas D. Rosen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit	t 3625	
Potitions to revive under 37 CER 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra	aw the holding of ahandonment under 27 (CER 1 181, should be	nromntly filed to

minimize any negative effects on patent term.
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) **Notice of Abandonment** Part of Paper No. 20081125

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-1432)

Application No.

See attached Interview Summary, PTO-413.